

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Improved recognition of ineffective chest compressions after a brief Crew Resource Management (CRM) training: a prospective, randomised simulation study

Leopold Haffner^{1*} , Moritz Mahling^{2†}, Alexander Muench³, Christoph Castan¹, Paul Schubert⁴, Aline Naumann⁵, Silke Reddersen³, Anne Herrmann-Werner^{1,6}, Jörg Reutershan⁷, Reimer Riessen⁸ and Nora Celebi⁹

Abstract

Background: Chest compressions are a core element of cardio-pulmonary resuscitation. Despite periodic training, real-life chest compressions have been reported to be overly shallow and/or fast, very likely affecting patient outcomes. We investigated the effect of a brief Crew Resource Management (CRM) training program on the correction rate of improperly executed chest compressions in a simulated cardiac arrest scenario.

Methods: Final-year medical students ($n = 57$) were randomised to receive a 10-min computer-based CRM or a control training on ethics. Acting as team leaders, subjects performed resuscitation in a simulated cardiac arrest scenario before and after the training. Team members performed standardised overly shallow and fast chest compressions. We analysed how often the team leader recognised and corrected improper chest compressions, as well as communication and resuscitation quality.

Results: After the CRM training, team leaders corrected improper chest compressions (35.5%) significantly more often compared with those undergoing control training (7.7%, $p = 0.03^*$). Consequently, four students have to be trained (number needed to treat = 3.6) for one improved chest compression scenario. Communication quality assessed by the Leader Behavior Description Questionnaire significantly increased in the intervention group by a mean of 4.5 compared with 2.0 ($p = 0.01^*$) in the control group.

Conclusion: A computer-based, 10-min CRM training improved the recognition of ineffective chest compressions. Furthermore, communication quality increased. As guideline-adherent chest compressions have been linked to improved patient outcomes, our CRM training might represent a brief and affordable approach to increase chest compression quality and potentially improve patient outcomes.

Keywords: Crew resource management, Healthcare, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation, Communication, Simulation training, Hospital rapid response team, Emergency medicine

* Correspondence: Haffner.leopold@gmail.com

†Equal contributors

¹DocLab, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tübingen, Elfriede-Aulhorn-Straße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

Cardiac arrest remains the foremost cause of death in Europe [1]. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and defibrillation represent the core elements of cardiac arrest treatment [2, 3]. The European Resuscitation Council (ERC) guidelines recommend performing chest compressions with a depth of 50 to 60 mm at a rate of 100 to 120 compressions per minute. Studies investigating CPR quality in real-life situations have shown that the chest compression quality (i.e. correct depth and frequency) often is low, even if performed by health-care professionals who have received high levels of cardiopulmonary resuscitation training [4–6]. A low chest compression quality probably negatively affects patient outcome, as shown by experimental and clinical studies [2].

Beyond the regular training recommended by the ERC guidelines [7], there are no reports on how real-life chest compression quality can be improved by Crew Resource Management (CRM) trainings. As resuscitations are usually performed by teams in which the team members perform different tasks, this offers the opportunity for a team leader to recognise and correct incorrectly executed tasks. CRM training programs have already been shown to improve resuscitation quality in terms of a shorter time to defibrillation and a significantly reduced hands-off time [8, 9].

However, at this time, it remains unclear whether the accuracy of chest compressions can be increased by team interventions. We therefore developed an intervention to increase the rate of correctly performed chest compressions and evaluated the effect of this intervention in a standardised realistic cardiac arrest simulation.

Methods

Study design, setting and ethics

We carried out a prospective, randomised blinded simulation study. The study took place at the DocLab of the Medical Faculty of the University of Tübingen, Germany, between May and June 2014 as part of a curricular resuscitation course. Students participated voluntarily and were asked to provide written informed consent. Students who did not want to participate in the scientific investigation were offered a comparable training session without data acquisition. We conducted the study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [10], with approval of the ethical committee of the University of Tübingen.

Participants and randomisation

All participants were sixth year (final-year) medical students at the beginning of their final year rotations and had successfully finished a practical emergency medicine course as well as an anaesthesiology internship during

their third and fourth years. Sixty students were asked to participate in the study (Fig. 1). Three participants were excluded from the analysis because of lack of blinding ($n = 1$), incomplete scenario ($n = 1$) and language barrier ($n = 1$). No student declined participation. The remaining 57 participants were randomised to each group by drawing a sealed envelope (Table 1). Thirty-one participants were randomised into the CRM training group and 26 participants into the control group, which involved an ethics training. An average of six participants were trained each day.

Baseline training


Before the first measurement (pre-measurement), every participant received a training based on basic and advanced life support (ALS). The basic life support training comprised theoretical and practical parts. The training was conducted with either one or two participants. The training focused on the correct execution of the chest compression and ventilation. The participants had to perform these skills on a patient simulator (Resusci Anne Simulator, Laerdal Medical GmbH, Puchheim, Germany) until they were adherent to the guidelines. The advanced procedure training consisted of a theoretical training concerning the application of drugs, defibrillation and advanced airway management.

Resuscitation scenarios

After the baseline training, every student performed an initial resuscitation (pre-measurement). The participant was presented with two tutors helping him during the resuscitation. The tutors assumed the role of team members while the participant was instructed to take the lead of the team. The scenario was an unresponsive person in a simulated clinical setting. The group was equipped with a medical emergency kit and a defibrillator. The resuscitation scenario lasted approximately 10 min for each student. All students were expected to initiate cardiopulmonary resuscitation and to defibrillate the patient.

Team members with standardised error

To standardise the study settings, tutors were instructed to perform overly shallow and fast chest compressions. When the tutors were instructed to start chest compressions by the participant (team leader), they performed chest compressions with a median compression depth of 30 mm and a median compression rate of 140 beats per minute (bpm). The standardisation was maintained by measuring the incorrect and correct chest compressions before every scenario, while the participant was not at the scene (Fig. 2).



CRM and control training

The succeeding CRM training started off by briefly providing participants with information about the importance of CRM in medicine. To develop the four learning objectives, we selected four out of the 15 key points of

CRM as formulated by Rall [11]. These objectives were to anticipate and plan, keep everybody informed, communicate effectively and crosscheck. The ethics training explained the four fundamental principles of Medical Ethics from Beauchamp and Childress [12]. The total content of both trainings was chosen to be of a comparable length. Every participant received the missing training after the conduction of the study. Both interventions are available as Additional file 1.


Table 1 Baseline characteristics and demographics of participants

	Total	Ethics	CRM
Students (n)	57	26	31
Age (median, IQR)	26, 25–29	27, 25–30	26, 25–29
Female (n (%))	40 (69.0%)	18 (69.2%)	21 (67.7%)
Previous training in healthcare (n (%))	19 (33.3%)	9 (34.6%)	10 (32.3%)
Months since last resuscitation training (mean \pm SD)	20.0 \pm 8.7	20.0 \pm 8.6	20.0 \pm 7.6
Regularly resuscitation trainings (n (%))	7 (12.2%)	4 (15.4%)	3 (9.3%)
once a year	4 (6.9%)	2 (7.7%)	2 (6.2%)
twice a year	1 (1.7%)	1 (3.8%)	0 (0%)
four times a year	2 (3.4%)	1 (3.8%)	1 (3.1%)
Previous CRM-Training (n (%))	9 (15.5%)	3 (11.5%)	6 (18.8%)
Previous Ethics-Training (n (%))	8 (13.8%)	2 (7.7%)	6 (18.8%)

Outcomes

The primary outcome was defined as the amount of mistakes corrected. The resuscitations were recorded by two cameras, and videos were analysed by two independent video evaluators.

As the secondary outcome, we surveyed the Leader Behavior Description Questionnaire (LBDQ). The LBDQ, used in this study, has been modified by Cooper and Wakelam for the use in resuscitation scenarios [13]. Further secondary outcomes were the compression depth and the compression rate recorded by the patient simulator system. The data of the compression depth and compression frequency were extracted from the log-files and were analysed using an in-house algorithm.



Statistical analysis

Variables following a normal distribution are presented as mean and standard deviation (\pm SD). Variables not normally distributed are expressed as median and 25–75% quartiles (Q25–Q75). To analyse the difference in the LBDQ totals, we used the unpaired two-sample *t*-test assuming equal variances. To compare the correction of erroneous chest compressions we used Fisher’s exact test. We assumed a global significance level of 0.05 and corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni–Holm approach. Statistically significant results are indicated by an asterisk (*). JMP software (JMP 11.1.1 64-bit version, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to perform statistical calculations, and graphics were drawn using Prism (Version 6.01, GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).


Results

Demographic data

The demographics and previous experiences of the students are shown in Table 1. All of the participants were final-year medical students. No relevant differences were detected when comparing the CRM and ethics groups.

Standardisation of incorrect compressions by the tutors

To ensure the standardisation of the scenarios, the tutors ($n = 7$) performed a series of correct and incorrect chest compressions prior to each scenario (Fig. 2). Due to technical problems, six datasets of the standardisation were excluded from analysis. For the chest compression depth, we found a high accordance with the instructions when tutors were asked to perform correct chest



compressions (Fig. 2a). Accordingly, when tutors were asked to vary frequency between correct and incorrect chest compression, the adherence of tutors to the instructions was equally high (Fig. 2b).

Difference of the LBDQ totals

To investigate the effect of the CRM and ethics intervention on communication skills, the LBDQ score was assessed before and after the intervention (Fig. 3a). To evaluate the LBDQ improvement, we calculated the individual difference between the post- and the pre-measurement LBDQ scores (Fig. 3b). The CRM intervention led to a significantly higher increase in the LBDQ total score (4.5 ± 3.4 points) compared with the ethics intervention (2.0 ± 3.9 points, $p = 0.01^*$, two-sample t -test, Bonferroni–Holm: p was significant for $p < 0.025$).

Correction of erroneous chest compressions

We investigated the rate of scenarios where the team leader recognised and corrected the improper chest compressions (Fig. 4). Before undergoing the intervention, the rate of scenarios with corrections of both compression rate and frequency was comparable for both groups (Fig. 4a ethics: 7.7%, CRM: 9.7%). After the CRM training, the rate of errors corrected was significantly higher in the CRM group compared with the ethics group (Fig. 4b ethics: 7.7%, CRM: 35.5%; Additional file 2: Table S1 $p = 0.03^*$, Fisher's exact test, Bonferroni–Holm: p was significant for $p < 0.05$). The odds ratio for the correction was 6.6.


During the pre-measurement stage, the ethics training group detected the wrong compression rate only. In the CRM training group, both the wrong compression rate and depth were detected (Fig. 4a). During the post-measurement stage, the ethics training group still recognised the wrong compression rate. In the CRM training group every mistake combination was recognised (Fig. 4b).

Chest compression

We graphically analysed if the standardised chest compressions (delivered by the tutor) were within the ERC 2015 reference range (Fig. 5, Additional file 3: Figure S1). If the tutors were corrected, we only used the data acquired after the correction to improve readability (indicated by a triangle in the graphic). If no correction was made, we used the data from the beginning to the end of the assessment.


Discussion

In this study, we prospectively investigated the effect of a short CRM-based training program on the correction



rate and resuscitation quality in a cardiac arrest setting involving standardised overly shallow/fast chest compressions. We provide preliminary evidence that even a brief CRM training can result in a significant increase in scenarios in which incorrect chest compressions were corrected.

Originally, principles of CRM have been transferred from aeronautics and aviation into medicine to reduce patient-relevant mistakes caused by human errors [11, 14]. The application of CRM in the context of resuscitation training has already been investigated in several studies. In 1999, Cooper et al. observed 20 resuscitation events in a clinical setting [13]. They showed that good communication and leader behaviour are linked to a better team dynamic and task performance. They also showed that undergoing an ALS training solely could not enhance the leadership performance [13]. In a



randomised controlled trial, Fernandez Castela et al. showed that a CRM training significantly reduced the no-flow time during ALS resuscitation performed by final-year medical students [9]. It is thus not surprising that the importance of CRM trainings has been recognised and recommended in the 2015 ERC guidelines [7]. In the Additional file 4: Table S2, we have summarised the main effects of CRM training on resuscitation-related outcomes in previous studies.

Despite the described positive effects of a CRM training on resuscitation scenarios, it is unknown if these trainings can also improve the recognition of incorrect chest compressions provided by other team members. As most studies investigated ad hoc formed teams (teams that form in the moment they have to

perform), this did not enable the investigation of standardised errors performed by team members. Moreover, ad hoc teams face many difficulties; Hunziker showed that these ad hoc teams delayed defibrillation and had a shorter hands-on time [8, 15] (Additional file 5: Figure S2). The hands-on time in Hunziker et al. studies was defined as the duration of time in the first 180 s of resuscitation in which chest compressions are performed. To count as an interruption, a pause had to be longer than 10 s. For each defibrillation, 10 s were added to the hands-on time [15]. We decided that CRM training should be short and computer-based, because personal resources for training purposes are often limited. Moreover, it has been shown that computer-based learning is a good

alternative to teacher-focused learning in terms of cost and time efficiency [16, 17].

Because chest compressions have been observed to be overly shallow and/or fast in real-life situations [4], we asked the tutors to perform incorrect chest compressions in a standardised manner. In our study, the incorrect chest compressions were not corrected in about 90% of the scenarios before the intervention. This was similar in both study groups, although more participants in the CRM group had received a CRM training before our study (6 vs. 3 participants). Hunziker et al. stated similar findings among the physicians that they evaluated and concluded that their results were caused by a lack of adherence to the guidelines [15]. Thus, it seems that resuscitation training does not prepare the subjects to recognise or correct wrong chest compressions performed by others.

After the pre-measurement stage, our participants randomly underwent either CRM or ethics training. Consequently, the communication quality, measured using the LBDQ, increased significantly in the CRM group compared with the ethics group. This shows that even a short, computer-based intervention yields a significant effect on communication skills. Hunziker reported similar findings for a 10-min intervention [18]. The communication quality also slightly increased in the ethics groups, most likely representing an effect of training during the pre-measurement stage.

In accordance with an increased LBDQ score, participants in the intervention group were significantly more likely to correct improper chest compressions (odds ratio 6.6). Scenarios without correction were only found in 65% of the cases, compared with 91% at the pre-measurement stage. While this represents a strong effect compared with baseline, it is disappointing that still 65% of the participants neither recognised nor corrected the overly fast or shallow compressions. This might be related to the fact that resuscitation scenarios, even in simulation, pose a significant stress factor to participants that do not have years of experience in emergency medicine [19]. Indeed, simulator studies have further shown that the error rate increases in stress situations [20–22].

In this study, we investigated final-year medical students with very low baseline correction of incorrect chest compressions. We would expect health care professionals, i.e., paramedics and emergency care physicians, to show a higher recognition rate of incorrect chest compressions at baseline. Thus, our observations are limited to resuscitation beginners, and are not necessarily applicable to more qualified staff members. Furthermore, the training setting and randomisation algorithm limit our study. This combination resulted in a slightly unequal randomisation (31 students in the

CRM training vs. 26 participants in the ethics training). The strengths of our study include the prospective, blinded design, as well as the participation of team members that performed standardised incorrect chest compressions. Thus, we could evaluate every participant individually and reduce the risk of group bias.

Conclusion

There is preliminary evidence that even a short CRM training program can result in a significant increase in corrections of overly shallow and/or fast chest compressions in a simulated scenario. As these chest compression parameters are linked to an increased patient survival, our intervention could potentially be useful to increase patient survival. Further patient-based studies are necessary to assess the impact of our intervention on real resuscitations, performed by physicians, paramedics and/or first responders.

Additional files

Additional file 1: These are the presentations the participants received. (PDF 2116 kb)

Additional file 2: The progress for the error correction from pre- to post-measurement is displayed. The table shows the amount of scenarios in the post-measurement where participants have shown a deteriorated error correction rate, an unvarying rate or an improvement. The number of improvements is significant ($p = 0.03^*$, Fisher's exact test). (PDF 469 kb)

Additional file 3: Figure S1. Percentage of chest compressions in the reference range. The resuscitations have been analysed in short 10-s frames for the percentage of chest compressions in the reference range. The line represents the mean percentage for each group over the course of the resuscitation. (PDF 232 kb)

Additional file 4: Table S2. Effects of CRM training on resuscitation-related outcomes. Leader Behavior Description Questionnaire (LBDQ), No-flow time, Adherence to Guidelines (ADH). (PDF 28 kb)

Additional file 5: Figure S2. Amount of accumulated defibrillations as percentage (inverse Kaplan–Meier graph). The marks indicate that the first shock was delivered as early as 1 min after the scenario began. There is no major difference in the time of participants who underwent their first defibrillation. (PDF 163 kb)

Abbreviations

ADH: Adherence to guidelines; ALS: Advanced life support; Bpm: Beats per minute; CPR: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; CRM: Crew resource management; ERC: European resuscitation council; LBDQ: Leader behavior description questionnaire; SD: Standard deviation

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all students for participating in the study. We also thank Vaya Dimoulidou for her help during this study. The funding of this study was realized by the *Faculty of Medicine, University of Tübingen*.

Funding

The funding of this study was realized by the "PROFIL" program *Faculty of Medicine, University of Tübingen*. The funding source had no influence on the design of the study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. We acknowledge support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Open Access Publishing Fund of University of Tübingen for publishing this paper.

Availability of data and material

The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Authors' contributions

LH, MM, AM, NC and RR designed the trial with statistical expertise by AN. Data were acquired by LH and MM. LH, MM, AM, CC, AN, SR, AHW, JR, RR and NC interpreted and discussed the data and revised the manuscript drafted by LH and MM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We conducted the study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [10], with approval of the ethical committee of the University of Tübingen (Reference number: 328/2013BO1). Students participated voluntarily and were asked to provide written informed consent. Students who did not want to participate in the scientific investigation were offered a comparable training session without data acquisition.

Author details

¹DocLab, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tübingen, Elfriede-Aulhorn-Straße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ²Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetology, Nephrology, Vascular Disease and Clinical Chemistry, University of Tübingen, Otfried-Müller-Straße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ³Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University of Tübingen, Hoppe-Seyler-Straße 3, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Passau Hospital, Innstraße 76, 94032 Passau, Germany. ⁵Institute for Clinical Epidemiology and Applied Biometry, University of Tübingen, Silcherstraße 5, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ⁶Department of Internal Medicine VI, Psychosomatic Medicine, University of Tübingen, Osianderstraße 5, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ⁷Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Bayreuth Hospital, Preuschwitzer Straße 101, 95445 Bayreuth, Germany. ⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Medical Intensive Care Unit, University of Tübingen, Otfried-Müller-Straße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ⁹PHV-Dialysezentrum Waiblingen, Beinsteiner Str. 8/3, 71334 Waiblingen, Germany.

Received: 8 August 2016 Accepted: 16 February 2017

Published online: 03 March 2017

References

- Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster RW, Castrén M, Smyth MA, Olasveengen T, Monsieurs KG, Raffay V, Gräsner J-T, Wenzel V. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 2. Adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation. *Resuscitation*. 2015;95:81–99.
- Edelson DP, Abella BS, Kramer-Johansen J, Wik L, Myklebust H, Barry AM, Merchant RM, Hoek TLV, Steen PA, Becker LB. Effects of compression depth and pre-shock pauses predict defibrillation failure during cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71(2):137–45.
- Capucci A, Aschieri D, Piepoli MF, Bardy GH, Iconomu E, Arvedi M. Tripling survival from sudden cardiac arrest via early defibrillation without traditional education in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation*. 2002;106(9):1065–70.
- Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, Edelson DP, Barry A, O'Hearn N, Hoek TLV, Becker LB. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Jama*. 2005;293(3):305–10.
- Hightower D, Thomas SH, Stone CK, Dunn K, March JA. Decay in quality of closed-chest compressions over time. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1995;26(3):300–3.
- Arshid M, Lo T-YM, Reynolds F. Quality of cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR) during paediatric resuscitation training: time to stop the blind leading the blind. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80(5):558–60.
- Greif R, Lockey A, Conaghan P, Lippert A, De Vries W, Monsieurs K: European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation. Section 10 Principles of Education in Resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2015;2015(95):287–300.
- Hunziker S, Johansson AC, Tschan F, Semmer NK, Rock L, Howell MD, Marsch S. Teamwork and leadership in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57(24):2381–8.
- Fernandez Castela E, Russo SG, Cremer S, Strack M, Kaminski L, Eich C, Timmermann A, Boos M. Positive impact of crisis resource management training on no-flow time and team member verbalisations during simulated cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a randomised controlled trial. *Resuscitation*. 2011;82(10):1338–43.
- Association WM: WMA declaration of Helsinki. Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Revised by the 59th WMA General Assembly, Seoul, 2008.
- Rall M, Gaba D, Howard S, Dieckmann P. Human performance and patient safety, vol. 7. Philadelphia: Miller's anesthesia Elsevier; 2009.
- Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. New York: Oxford University Press, Inc; 2001.
- Cooper S, Wakelam A. Leadership of resuscitation teams: "Lighthouse Leadership". *Resuscitation*. 1999;42(1):27.
- Pizzi L, Goldfarb NI, Nash DB. Crew resource management and its applications in medicine. *Making Health Care Safer*. 2001;44:511–9.
- Hunziker S, Tschan F, Semmer NK, Zobrist R, Spychiger M, Breuer M, Hunziker PR, Marsch SC. Hands-on time during cardiopulmonary resuscitation is affected by the process of teambuilding: a prospective randomised simulator-based trial. *BMC Emerg Med*. 2009;9:3.
- Todd KH, Braslow A, Brennan RT, Lowery DW, Cox RJ, Lipscomb LE, Kellermann AL. Randomized, controlled trial of video self-instruction versus traditional CPR training. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1998;31(3):364–9.
- Einspruch EL, Lynch B, Aufderheide TP, Nichol G, Becker L. Retention of CPR skills learned in a traditional AHA Heartsaver course versus 30-min video self-training: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74(3):476–86.
- Hunziker S, Bühlmann C, Tschan F, Balestra G, Legeret C, Schumacher C, Semmer NK, Hunziker P, Marsch S. Brief leadership instructions improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a high-fidelity simulation: A randomized controlled trial*. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38(4):1086.
- Jones T, Goss S, Weeks B, Miura H, Bassandeh D, Cheek DJ. The effects of high-fidelity simulation on salivary cortisol levels in SRNA students: a pilot study. *ScientificWorldJOURNAL*. 2011;11:86–92.
- Valentin A, Capuzzo M, Guidet B, Moreno R, Metnitz B, Bauer P, Metnitz P. Errors in administration of parenteral drugs in intensive care units: multinational prospective study. *BMJ*. 2009;338:b814.
- Byrne A, Sellen A, Jones J. Errors on anaesthetic record charts as a measure of anaesthetic performance during simulated critical incidents. *Br J Anaesth*. 1998;80(1):58–62.
- Rall M, Manser T, Guggenberger H, Gaba D, Unertl K. Patientensicherheit und Fehler in der Medizin: Entstehung, Prävention und Analyse von Zwischenfällen. *AINS Anästhesiologie, Intensivmedizin, Notfallmedizin, Schmerztherapie*. 2001;36(6):321–30.
- Moher D, Schulz K, Altman D. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials. *JAMA*. 2001;285:1987–91.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

